





## Mars Global Surveyor and Mars Express and Preparation of Callebra

Christian Mazelle, Jean-André Sauvaud, Andrei Fedorov (exp.), Pierre-Louis Blelly (ionospheric modelling) Centre d'Etude Spatiale des Rayonnements, Toulouse, France

Collaborator: César Bertucci (IAFE, Buenos Aires, Argentina)

HELIOSARES Kick-off meeting, Paris, UPMC, October 5 – 6, 2009



### Mars Global Surveyor MAG/ER Experiment P.I.: Mario Acuña (GSFC)



MAG: 2 tri-axial magnetometers (NASA GSFC) (up to 32 vectors/sec).

ER: Electron spectrometer (SSL Berkeley - CESR) measuring energy distribution from 10 eV to 20 keV (Fov: 360x14°,16 sectors of 22.5°; max. time resolution: 2 sec).



• But no ion measurements!

Data from 14/09/1997 to 03/11/2006

Mainly on PDS but not all

## Solar Wind Interaction with MARS

Study of the electromagnetic coupling between a magnetized plasma wind supersonic and superalfvenic, and the neutral environment (through the ionization processes) of an object without macroscopic magnetic moment





## MGS: lack of present dynamo at Mars

MGS: first spacecraft to reach low altitudes between 100 and 200 km [Acuña et al., 1998]



With an active planetary dynamo, the magnetic field strength should regularly increase with decreasing altitude and reach its maximum at periapsis.

Contrarily, |B| displays a minimum at periapsis sometimes nearly vanishes (close to instrumental accuracy).

> Mars has no active dynamo (no more)

[Mazelle et al., 2003]

**Interaction with the solar wind of** *«***atmospheric***»***type** 



## MGS: discovery of remnant crustal magnetism (paleomagnetism)



#### Map of crustal sources



No source in old impact basins Hellas & Argyre

 $\sim$  dynamo ceased > ~3.9 10<sup>9</sup> years ago  $\implies$  atmospheric erosion by SW



# MGS: crustal magnetism observations from circular mapping orbit (400km)







# ASPERA-3 - Imaging plasma and energetic neutral atoms near Mars

PI: Rickard Lundin, Stanislav Barabash + ASPERA-team

- <u>Objective:</u> To measure solar wind scavenging : The slow "invisible" escape of volatiles (atmosphere, hydrosphere) from Mars.
- **Question:** Is the solar wind erosion the prime reason for the present lack of water on Mars?



Ion mass analyzer



#### Main Unit:

- Data processing
- Neutral particle imagers (NPI, NPD)
- Electron spectrometer (ELS)
- Mechanical scanner

# Solar wind erosion of the martian atmosphere

Planetary wind = Outflow of atmosphere and ionosphere (cometary interaction)

ASPERA doing global imaging and *in-situ* measurements of:

- Inflow solar wind
- Outflow planetary wind

using:

Energetic neutral atom cameras and plasma (ion+electron) spectrometers



Note: Mars (and Venus) are planets lacking a strong intrinsic magnetic field (umbrella) => dehydration.

# May 2004 – August 2006



IMA-Mex could only see heavy ions from 250 eV to about 10 keV before april 2007 (new patch: possible from ~30 eV)

### MEX encounter of the ionosphere at Mars



#### **IMA one mass spectrum. Fitting of 3 species**



We can resolve M/Q equal to 16, 32(28) and 44

#### MGS and MEX: Solar Cycle



## **Available Data and tools**

- All MGS data and MEX data available at CESR. More than at PDS!
- Expertise on instruments.
- Available specific data analysis software.

## Science Rationale Behind MAVEN



• Only by understanding the role of escape to space through time will we be able to fully understand the history of the atmosphere, climate, and water, and thereby understand martian habitability.

- The history of liquid water and of any greenhouse atmosphere determine the potential that Mars had throughout time to support life
- Loss of atmospheric  $CO_2$ ,  $N_2$ , and  $H_2O$  to space has been an important mechanism for loss of the early atmosphere, and may have been the dominant mechanism.



#### Mars Solar Wind Interaction

## **MAVEN High-Level Science Questions**

Major questions about Mars involve the history of its climate, the processes that control it, and the implications for martian habitability. Given the potentially important role that loss of gases from the upper atmosphere to space has played, we wish to address the following questions:



- What is the current state of the upper atmosphere, and what processes control it?
- What is the rate of escape of atmospheric gases to space at the present epoch and how does it relate to the underlying processes that control the upper atmosphere?
- What has the total loss of atmospheric gases to space been through time?

#### **MAVEN** Science and Measurement Objectives (1 of 3)**MAVEN** Science Science MAVEN Objective

What is the current state of the upper atmosphere, and what processes control it?

# Obj.

Determine the current state of the upper atmosphere and its response to solar and solar-wind input

## Measurement Obj.

Measure the neutral composition between the homopause and the exobase

Measure the composition and structure of the ionosphere between the homopause and the exobase

Measure the composition and structure of the hot corona above the exobase

Measure the solar and solarwind input into the upper atmosphere

Exobase – altitude from which escape occurs (~ 220 km)

> Homopause – altitude below which atmosphere is well mixed (~ 120 km)

#### **MAVEN Science and Measurement Objectives** (2 of 3) MAVEN MAVEN Science

## Objective

What is the escape rate at the present epoch and how does it relate to the underlying processes that control the upper atmosphere?

Mars Solar Wind Interaction IONOPALISI BOW HOT ELECTRONS. CRUST - PLASMA SOLAR WIND IONOSPHERIC

Science Obj.

Determine the rate of escape via each of the important processes, or measure key parameters that allow us to infer the escape rates.

# Measurement Obj.

Determine the escape rate via Jeans escape.

Determine the escape rate via photochemical loss.

Determine the escape rate of pick-up ions.

Determine the escape rate via pick-up-ion sputtering.

Determine the escape rate via ion outflow

Determine the escape rate via charge-escape loss

Determine the escape rate via bulk plasma momentum transfer

# MAVEN Science and Measurement Objectives (3 of 3)

Science Objective

What has been the total loss to space through time?

MAVEN Science Obj.

Determine the relative escape rates of the stable isotopes and the resulting isotopic fractionation MAVEN Measurement Obj.

Measure the ratios of stable isotopes (C, O, N, Ne, <sup>40</sup>Ar) between the homopause and the exobase

Measure the ratios of stable isotopes (D/H, <sup>38</sup>Ar) in the vicinity of the homopause



#### Solar Wind Electron Analyzer (SWEA)





#### Launch: end of 2013

### **Electron differential flux in the different regions of the Martian environment (MEx)**

## Dayside magnetosheath and Plasmasheet

Maximum energy flux estimate 1.10<sup>8</sup> eV cm<sup>-2</sup> sr<sup>-1</sup> eV<sup>-1</sup>

For 16 azimuthal sectors and Maximum count rate of 10<sup>5</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> gives a geometrical factor of one sector

GF<sub>16</sub>=1.10<sup>-3</sup> cm<sup>2</sup> sr eV/eV

Stereo SWEA:  $GF_{16}=2.10^{-3}$  (ideal) = 5.10<sup>-4</sup> (real) MGS ER:  $GF_{16}=4.10^{-5}$ MEx ELS:  $GF_{16}=4.7 \ 10^{-5}$ 

Both far from saturation





### Hardware Implementation: Baseline STEREO SWEA Design











## **Science Topics**

- Plasma boundaries: nature and role. Relation with the atmospheric escape.
- Upstream atmospheric escape.
- Ionospheric photo-electrons.

#### The three main martian plasma boundaries

Bow shock **PEB** (PhotoElectron **Boundary**) - Magnetic Pile-Up 250 - 500 km Boundary (MPB) Solar - Photoelectron Wind Boundary (PEB) or **MPB** (Magnetic Pile-Up ionopause **Boundary**) 650 - 1200 km Bow Shock

### The Martian Magnetic Pileup Boundary (MPB)



- Sudden increase in the magnetic field pileup
- Increase of draping
- Cooling of electron distribution

**PEB**: "Photo-electron boundary" [Mitchell et al., 2001] (MGS)

PVO name: ionopause at Venus (pressure balance boundary for solar max conditions)

## The Martian MPB according to MGS



#### **Martian MPB: Draping Enhancement**



IMF draping is revealed from the correlation between axial component  $(B_{x'})$  and transverse *e.g.*, radial  $(B_{r)}$ 

Draping on the dayside is significant only downstream from the MPB whatever the |B|-gradient [Bertucci, Mazelle, et al., 2003a]

Similar properties reported across the magnetic tail boundary [Yeroshenko et al., 1990].

#### Correlation between B<sub>x'</sub> and B<sub>r</sub>

2 examples comparing upstream and downstream from the MPB:





## Particle density variations



### Plasma populations: statistical studies



[Fränz et al., 2006]

#### **Statistics of the observations of photoelectrons**



high-altitude photoelectrons are the result of direct magnetic connectivity to the dayside ionosphere inside the induced magnetosphere [Liemohn *et al.*, 2006]

# The Martian magnetic pileup boundary a.k.a. induced magnetosphere boundary



- Sudden increase in the magnetic field pileup on the dayside.
- Cooling of electron distribution.
- Decrease of SW ion density and velocity.
- Increase of the total plasma density.
- Increase of planetary ion density.
- Increase of draping.



Bertucci, Mazelle, et al., 2003

## Martian MPB: macroscopic properties



- Position independent from solar cycle (Vignes et al., 2000).
- Correlation with BS position (Bertucci et al., 2005b).
- Influence from crustal fields (Crider et al., 2002).
- 80-eV electron fluxes clearly show the MPB separating the magnetosheath and barrier.
- Solar wind He++ and H+ show similar behavior.
- Accordingly, the planetary plasma receives the flux of momentum and escape (mainly O+) within the MPB (Dubinin et al., 2006).

### Comparison Mars / Venus (1)



### Comparisons Mars – Venus (2): Statistical Study

Mars

#### Solar wind ions:





#### Planetary ions: O<sup>+</sup> and O<sup>2+</sup>, any energy



## Statistics of the MPB positions at Mars



#### **MEX. An example of MPB crossing**



#### Heavy planetary ions in the Martian tail

MEX IMA M/Q > 15

1 May 2004 - 30 May 2006



Total flux = 3.8  $10^{23}$  s<sup>-1</sup>[Barabash *et al.*, Science, 2007]

#### Heavy planetary ions in the Martian tail

#### MEX IMA M/Q > 15 1 May 2004 - 30 May 2006

#### at $X_{MSO} = -2R_M$





distribution, only pixels that have been sampled at least five times were taken into account. All bins with fewer crossings were disregarded. For reference, we also show the Mars limb, the MPB cross section at the distance  $x = -2R_{\rm M}$ . The direction of the interplanetary electric field is shown by the red vector.

[Barabash et al., Science, 2007]



### Low Frequency Upstream Waves at Mars: overview from MGS results

 $(nT^2/Hz)$ 

g Power



[Brain et al., JGR, 2002]

- Waves at the proton cyclotron frequency
- Evidence of the effect of the interaction with the exosphere (as for comets) outside the bow shock.
- link with the "erosion" of the planetary atmosphere (non thermal escape)

#### Low frequency coherent waves upstream from the bow shock



# Packet structure of coherent large-amplitude waves at $\Omega_p$



# **Coherent waves: particle observations (electrons)**



#### **Polarization Analysis**

#### minimum variance analysis (MVA)



# Wave generation at $\Omega_p$ result of linear theory

Resolution of the Maxwell - Vlasov linear dispersion equation

- □ <u>2 ion populations</u>:
  - Protons (solar wind).
  - Implanted Protons (Exosphere) as a beam.
- □ Beam temperature < 100 eV.
- □ Beam density < 1% SW.



Ion/ion right-hand mode driven unstable

Vph <  $V_{vs} \Rightarrow$  Doppler shift  $\Rightarrow$  left-hand polarization +  $\Omega_p$  in inertial frame (MGS)

#### How to explain:

- □ Coherency?
- Packet structure ?
- Large amplitude?



## Wave amplitude

Large wave amplitude (up to 5 nT peak-to-peak) inconsistent with the nonlinear saturation value of proton cyclotron waves [e.g., Convery and Gary, JGR, 102, 2351, 1997] or to the results of computer simulations for pickup ions [e.g., Gary *et al.*, JGR, *94*, 3513, 1989] which predict rapid scattering at low saturation level.

$$\frac{\left|\delta B\right|^2}{B_o^2}\left|theory\right| = \frac{1}{12} \frac{n_{ring}}{n_e} \left(\frac{v_{\perp o}}{v_A}\right)^2 \le 0.04$$

$$\frac{\left|\delta B\right|^2}{B_o^2} \exp = 0.2$$

$$n_e = 4 \, cm^{-3}, V_{\perp 0} = V_{SW} = 400 \, km/s, n_{ring} = 0.1 \, cm^{-3}$$

#### Stationary waves in a multi-ionic plasma



Courtesy K. Sauer



- Such structures are observed in the inertial frame (~ spacecraft) as waves with a frequency close to Ω<sub>p</sub>.
- They are circularly polarized with a left-hand polarization in the inertial frame (~ spacecraft).
- In that conditions, the right-hand mode is linearly unstable (Sauer and Dubinin, 2003).

## PEB : <u>PhotoElectron</u> <u>Boundary</u>

- A boundary first reported from MGS data (electron flux drop-out at E > 100 eV).
- A new criterion (MEX): a clear photo chemical signature



## Photochemical electron peaks

- Systematical detection of spectral peaks in the ionosphere.
- <u>suddenly</u> disappear at the PEB
  - electron fluxes are almost independent of altitude



#### MARTIAN PHOTO-ELECTRONS ESCAPE <sup>01/May/2004</sup> Mars Express



#### Photo-ionization of $CO_2$ by solar $h\nu$ @ 304 Å



## Mars: escaping photoelectrons

- The maximum production rate of photoelectrons in the 20-30 eV range (304 Å) occur at altitudes of the order of 170-200 km, vertical transport is then predominant.
- Photoelectrons escape along the magnetic field to reach the antisolar and flank regions located between the PEB and the MPB.
- Electrons with energies lower and higher than those of the CO<sub>2</sub> peaks also escape.
- The plasma neutrality (ambipolar E) requires the loss of (10) tons of ions/days: a planetary wind

#### **Resolving Photoelectron peaks**



## New ISSI team

### Comparative Study of Induced Magnetospheres (Mars, Venus, Titan, Comets)

Leader: César Bertucci (IAFE, Buenos Aires, Argentina) Co-Leaders: Ronan Modolo (LATMOS) and Christian Mazelle (CESR)

First meeting in April 2010 (2 year-duration)